

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/573,676	NEUHAUS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BENJAMIN ELLIOTT	2416

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BENJAMIN ELLIOTT. (3) _____.

(2) Ralph Fischer. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed newly amended claim 33 and made suggestions to Applicants' representative regarding 35 U.S.C. 112 issues and how to make the claim more clear. Reviewed amendments with representative Fischer and agreement was reached as to the clarity of the claim and withdrawal of 112 issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/B. E./ Examiner, Art Unit 2416	/Aung S. Moe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416
------------------------------------	---